

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 7 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.70 % | 93.62 % | 3 | 92.07 % | 70 | 65 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 89.22 % | 87.02 % | 2 | 84.14 % | 75 | 72 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.5 | 4.38 | 7 | 4.79 | 7 | 9 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 94.68 % | 1 | 81.45 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 94.27 % | 70.13 % | 1 | 69.74 % | 93 | 92 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 70.16 % | 70.57 % | 4 | 80.97 % | 15 | 18 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 24.61 % | 33.85 % | 4 | 45.50 % | 13 | 15 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.69 % | 98.55 % | 4 | 95.55 % | 44 | 46 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 5 / 7 | Nationally: | 32 38 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$47,042 | \$26,067 | | \$26,831 | 382 Units | 88.60 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$8,377 | \$12,424 | | \$15,029 | 47 Units | 10.90 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$47,525 | \$11,808 | | \$20,806 | 2 Units | 0.50 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,442 | | \$3,228 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Springfield MO

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$65,521 | \$72,325 | \$49,765 |
| State:* | \$122,862 | \$82,384 | \$15,792 |
| National:** | \$96,090 | \$75,663 | \$23,585 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| PJ: | 4.5 % |
| National Avg: | 1.2 % |

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.94

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 65.4 | 95.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 8.4 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 28.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 7.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 39.8 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 26.4 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 18.3 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 4.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 14.9 | 44.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 15.4 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 15.2 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 26.4 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 6.3 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 24.6 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 78.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 11.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 5.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 3 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Springfield State: MO Group Rank: 32
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 5 / 7 PJs Overall Rank: 38
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 75.25% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.43% | 94.27 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 70.16 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.30% | 98.69 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.340 | 1.82 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

